

Process Intensification of Industrial Biocatalysis

or how to scale up without huge reactor volumes

Gareth Jenkins, COO



Outline

- Addressing sustainability through industrial biocatalysis
- Reaction engineering and reactor sizing for IB
- Coflore Tubular Reactors
- Example applications for IB



Industrial Biocatalysis



Example of the Sustainability of Enzyme-based Production Processes

6-Aminopenicillanic acid Production (500 t)



Chemical Process

1000 t penicillin G

300 t dimethylchlorosilane

800 t N,N-dimethylaniline

600 t phosphopentachloride

160 t ammonia

4200 m³ dichloromethane

4200 m³ n-butanol

Enzyme Process

1000 t penicillin G 45 t ammonia 1 t immobilised enzyme

10000 m³ water



Advantages/Disadvantages compared to chemical catalysts

Advantages

- Stereo- and regio- selective
- Low temperature (0 110 °C)
- Low energy consumption
- Active at pH 2 12
- Less by-products
- Nontoxic when used correctly
- Can be reused
- Can be degraded biologically
- Can be produced in unlimited quantities

Disadvantages

- Cells and enzymes are
 - Unstable at high temperatures
 - Unstable at extreme pH
 - Unstable in aggressive solvents
 - Inhibited by some metal ions
 - Hydrolysed by petidases
- Some enzymes
 - Are still very expensive
 - Require costly cofactors
- When inhaled or ingested, are potential allergens
- Low substrate concentrations (nature operates at <0.01 M, can reach <1 M)



Applications of new enzyme processes to meet sustainability challenges

- Production of optically pure fine chemicals
 - Especially where racemate is currently made and then resolved (50% waste)
- Synthesis of antibiotics
- Synthesis of pharmaceutical intermediates
- Paper production
- Oligosaccharide production for food or pharma
- Selective glycosylation of peptides/proteins and other biomolecular drugs
- Modification of lipids, fats and oils
- For environmental biotechnology
- Synthesis of biofuels from biomass
- Production of bulk products from biomass in biorefineries



Characteristics of a Biotransformation

- Potential Issues
 - Substrate solubility
 - Substrate concentration
 - Substrate inhibition
 - Product solubility
 - Product concentration
 - Product inhibition

- Observed Reaction Rates
 - Slow kinetics
 - Limited ability to increase temp
 - Limited ability to increase conc
 - Mass transfer limited
 - Mixing sensitive



Reaction Engineering



Reaction Engineering

Kinetic or Thermodynamic control

Stirred Tank or Tubular Reactor





Sizing the Reactor

- For reactions with rate order >0
 - Tube reactor significantly smaller that an STR, especially as conversion increases
- For Michaelis-Menton kinetics
 - During zero-order phase, TR and STR are same size (ie [S]_E >K_m) but if higher conversions needed, TR will be smaller that STR
- Where substrate inhibition occurs, the choice of reactor depends on conversion required
 - Low conversion $(S_1) = STR$
 - High converstion $(S_2) = TR$





Influence of initial substrate concentration on reactor size

- The more dilute the initial substrate concentration, the more pronounced the difference in reactor size becomes
 - Low substrate concentration results in STRs that are 20x larger than PFRs
 - At high substrate concentration, STRs are still double the size of a PFR











Multi-phase systems and Mass Transfer

Batch reactor

- As a rule of thumb, the blending time in a batch reactor is the time it takes the fluid to travel 5 times around the mixing path. Since P/V ∝ V², mixing times get slower due to mechanical limitations of the agitator.
- Shear (for overcoming mass transfer limitations) varies widely according to location within the vessel (O high to O low)





Coflore Tubular Reactors



Flow Reactor Market

The current flow reactor market is dominated by two types of flow reactor

Micro reactors (Uniqsis, Syrris, Vapourtec, Ehrfeld, etc)

These are research machines and too small for industrial use.

Passively mixed flow reactors (Corning, Ehrfeld, ESK, Alfa Laval)

The are a variety of solutions based on static mixing and turbulent flow in small tubes. These are limited to short reaction times and generally clean fluids.

The limitation of passively mixed reactors is that fluids do not mix well at low velocities





www.amtechuk.com gareth.jenkins@amtechuk.com

Colfore Reactors

Coflore reactors use mechanical movement of the reactor body combined with free moving agitators to generate mixing. This is an inherently simpler and better way of mixing in flow systems.

- Efficient radial mixing
- No baffles (self baffling), no centrifugal effects
- No seals or magnetic couplings
- No shaft stability problems





Lab Scale Unit 10 x 10ml = 100 ml



¹⁰ Tube Based System 10 x 100ml = 1L



Coflore agitation – dynamic active mixing in large diameter tubes

• CFD animation of tracer dye injected into Coflore mixed 22mm diameter tube at fluid velocity of 0.1 m/s with 5 Hz shaking





Courtesy of CD-Adapco™



COFLORE Processing Advantages

- Mixed phases:
 - Liquid-liquid
 - Liquid-solid
 - Liquid-gas
 - Liquid-solid-gas
- Applications:
 - Heterogeneous catalysis
 - Biocatalysis
- Mass transfer limited processes
- Slow kinetic or thermodynamic limited processes
- Active mixing decouples flow rate and tube length from mixing
 - Shorter reactor tubes
 - Much lower pressure drop
 - Less start up / shutdown waste

| 0 Hz – No Mixing | 9 Hz – Mixing |
|--|---------------|
| Fine sand | Fine sand |
| and the second sec | |
| 0000 | |
| Granular sand | Granular sand |
| | A STATE STATE |
| | |



Example applications with IB



Study #1 - Biocatalytic oxidase SCALABILITY

• DL – amino acid resolution:



- Production of L amino acids and α keto acid.
- Move away from using a batch process towards a continuous system.
- G/L/S system.
- > 24 hours reaction time
- Enzyme presented as freeze-dried whole cells.



qenza

C-Tech



Biocatalytic oxidase – Scale Up



Flow - 1 litre ATR (<120 strokes pm mixer)



Biocatalytic oxidase – Scale Up





Biocatalytic oxidase – Scale Up



1-10 litre ATR flow reactor

Continuous makes this process scalable

LCA data: 10 L continuous vs 10 1L batch cycles

- 88% reduction in kWh/L consumption
- 90% reduction in CO2 production

Energy consumption and CO2 production increase more slowly in continuous than batch



even more benefits will be achieved at larger scale





Conclusions Study #1

- 3-Fold increase in conversion compared to small stirred batch
- Almost identical, excellent conversion using 70% lower oxygen



Study #2 - Biocatalytic Desymmetrisation

SPACE TIME YIELD IMPROVEMENTS

+ H₂O, - MeOH

- Pig Liver esterase catalyse ٠ recombinant desymmetrization of dimethyl pig liver esterase COOH (ECS-PLEs) cyclohex-4-ene-cis-1,2-dicarboxylate (1S,2R)-monoester 2a >99.5% ee + H₂O, - MeOH **′**COOMe Catalyst as a cell paste or its COOH • COOMe pig liver esterase (1S,2R)-monoester 2a lyophilisate, dissolves in water 80-97% ee + H₂O, - MeOH 'COOMe COOMe **COOH** Novozyme 435
- Substrate is immiscible with water
- Simple buffer system (NaHCO3): Gas generation



Süss, P. et al, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2014, 18 (7), pp 897–903

1st June 2016

RSC Symposium 2016, ChemSpec Europe, Basel

Stirred tank (from the paper) • 8.5L • ECS-PLE06 = 140 U/g diester • T = 40°C • Full conversion in 4 h • 82% yield, > 99.5% ee

″COOMe



(1R.2S)-monoester 2b

>99.9% ee



Biocatalytic esterification

Batch

- Large scale stirred tank (from the paper)
 - 8.5L
 - ECS-PLE06 = 5.5 U/mL
 - T = 40°C
 - Full conversion in 4 h
 - 82% yield, > 99.5% ee
- Small scale stirred tank
 - 10mL
 - ECS-PLE-06 = 5.5U/mL
 - $T = 44^{\circ}C$
 - 14.03% conversion in 30 minutes

Initial Continuous Feasibility Study

- Inconsistent results
- Dimethyl cyclohex-4-ene-cis-1,2dicarboxylate immiscible in water
- Unstable enzyme / buffer solution
- Full conversion in 50 min
- 38 experiments, 150 ml each



Biocatalytic Desymmetrisation – Preliminary results in flow

Benchmark of agitators: 50% volume vs. spring



- Enzyme and buffer were dissolved in one solution which caused degradation of the enzyme in some cases
- This was overcome by modifying the setup ...





Modified Setup





Modified Setup: Benchmark

| Residence time | Conditions | Conversion (%) Cell 7 | Conversion (%) Cell 10 |
|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| 25 min* | ACR, 50% vol agit. | 31 | 66* |
| 50 min | ACR, 50% vol agit. | 95 | 96 |

* 14% conversion after 25 min (20 mL batch, in-house)

- The enzyme and the buffer solution are kept separate until they mix within the reactor
- Samples are collected at cell 7 and cell 10 to monitor the rate of conversion throughout the reaction



Conclusions Study #2

- Faster reaction in flow
- Flexibility in equipment set up was essential for success
- Significant improvement in Space Time Yield demonstrated on moving to continuous processing:
 - $8.8L \text{ batch reactor} \qquad \text{STY 9.2 g } L^{-1} h^{-1}$
 - 100mL continuous reactor STY 44.7 g L^{-1} h⁻¹



Study #3 - Immobilised Biocatalysts



HANDLING SLURRIES

- Fixed slurry concentration at 12.5% w/v in water throughout
- Simple slurry feed magnetically stirred flask
- Slurry pumped in from bottom to top (against gravity, worst case)
- Three residence times before sample taken
 - Two different agitators





ACR System As Set Up and In Use







Pilot Agitated Tube Reactor (ATR) Set Up



- Single run
- Two tubes to check for interstage issues
- Feed in at lower tube so moving slurry against gravity



ATR System As Set Up and In Use







Conclusions Study #3

- Immobilised catalysts presented as slurries up to 12.5% w/v can be processed
- Early results look promising
 - Evaluating a wide range of typical solid supports, including glass beads
 - Evaluating reduction in attrition of solid support
 - Evaluating performance of a range of immobilised catalysts



Coflore and bio-processes

| Process | Enzyme | Partner | Summary of results |
|---|---|--|---|
| DL amino acid resolution by oxidation | Wild-type D-amino acid oxidase immobilised on whole cells | Ingenza and C-Tech Innovations | From 24h in 1L batch to 4h in ACR From 30+ h in 4L batch to 7h in ATR10 70% less oxygen |
| β-D glucose to gluconic acid | Gluzyme Mono | DTU | From 10h in 200ml batch to 1h in ACR |
| Reduction of 4- tertbutylcyclohexanone to cis-4-tertbutylcyclohexanol | CRED 161A | Almac | ACR 7 times faster than 500 ml batch reactor . In progress |
| Esterification of oleic acid to ethyl oleate | Lipozyme CalB L | Novozymes | From 4 h in batch to 2 min in ACR and ATR1 |
| Desymmetrisation of dimethyl cyclohex-4-ene-cis- 1,2-dicarboxylate | ECS-PLE06 | Enzymicals | 8.8L batch reactor STY 9.2 g L-1 h-1 100mL continuous reactor STY 44.7 g L-1 h-1 |
| Continuous enzymatic processing of sugar beet pulp for pectin breakdown | ТВС | UCL Industrial Biotechnology Research Group | In progress |
| Immobilised enzymes | ТВС | GSK, AZ, Johnson Matthey | In progress |

RSC Symposium 2016, ChemSpec Europe, Basel



Conclusions

- Flow processing has the potential to accelerate bioprocesses due to enhanced mass transfer
- Faster reaction times will make large scale production more economically feasible
- Faster reaction times and use of tubular continuous reactors will result in significantly smaller equipment
- More examples of lab and scale up applications are needed but the results are consistently promising.
- Many thanks to

